

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,796 06/11/		06/11/2001	John M. Krochta	02307O-114420US	3744
20350	7590	03/26/2003			
TOWNSEN	ID AND	TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER		
	ARCADE	RO CENTER	PADEN, CAROLYN A		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	4
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	, [

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/879,796	KROCHTA ET AL.	KROCHTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Carolyn A Paden	1761		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

after - If the - If NO	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
earne	od patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2001.
1)[The state of the s
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ion of Claims
	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.
4)[-]	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s) 30,31 and 33-45 is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) 11,13 and 15 is/are objected to.
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	ion Papers
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
ì	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)) All b) Some * c) None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
15)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachme	
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement's Pisclosure Statement's (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 12, 14 and 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krochta et al in view of Trizza and further in view of Glass.

Krochta discloses a protein-based edible barrier that is made from whey protein isolate or soy protein isolate. At column 4, lines 27-30 the inclusion of a plasticizer is contemplated and the plasticizers are preferable polyalcohols such as sorbitol, glycerol or polyethylene glycol. The amount of plasticizer is set to be at 1 to 15%. The protein may be used in either a denatured or a not denatured form. The protein in the claims also appears to be the same protein referred to in the reference. Lipid is also used in the reference at column 6, lines 32-49. Trizza is relied on to show that edible coatings that contain whey protein isolate are known to have a gloss. Trizza also teaches that whey protein isolate coatings are useful substitutes in chocolate and confectionery applications (see page 658). The claims appear to differ from the reference in the suggestion of a disaccharide or

Art Unit: 1761

monosaccharide plasticizer. Glass teaches that sweetening agents such as a blend of sucrose and corn syrup are known for use as plasticizers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill art to use sucrose as a plasticizer in Krochta in order to provide an enhanced sweetness to the coated food product without the use of extra ingredients. It is appreciated that the use of the protein isolates together is not disclosed but combinations of protein sources would have been an obvious way to utilize available ingredients. It is appreciated that cocoa butter is not mentioned but cocoa butter is a well-known fat source for use in confectionery products that also often have high gloss coatings.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 32 contains the trademark/trade name Span. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any

Art Unit: 1761

particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe product and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claims 11, 13 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 32 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 30, 31 and 33-45 are allowed.

None of the reference show the use of the particular protein isolate in the coating process that is set forth in the claims. Also there is no incentive to modify the prior art coating processes to substitute the particular protein isolate that is described at pages 5-7 of the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone

Art Unit: 1761

number is 703-308-3294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7am to 3:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

CAROLYN PADEN 3-19-03
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1999